

discrete symmetries and helicity

-10-

Parity (P) $\vec{x} \rightarrow -\vec{x}, \vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}, \vec{s} \rightarrow \vec{s} \quad (\vec{x} \times \vec{p})$
 $\Rightarrow h \rightarrow -h$

$\Rightarrow$ a theory with only $+\frac{1}{2}$ helicity cannot be parity invariant. weak interactions in SM are not parity inv.!

time reversal (T) $t \rightarrow -t, \vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}, \vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}, \vec{s} \rightarrow -\vec{s}$
 $\Rightarrow h \rightarrow h$

charge conjugation (C): $(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow (t, \vec{x}), h \rightarrow h$
particle $\rightarrow$ anti-particle (e.g. $e^- \rightarrow e^+$)

can prove CPT theorem: CPT is always a symmetry in local lorentz-invariant QFT

CPT: $(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow (-t, -\vec{x}), \text{particle} \rightarrow \text{anti particle}$
 $E, \vec{p} \rightarrow E, \vec{p}, \vec{s} \rightarrow -\vec{s}, h \rightarrow -h$

$\Rightarrow$ massless $h = \frac{1}{2}$ fermion must $h = -\frac{1}{2}$ antifermion

Spin 1 (e.g. photon γ)

$$\hat{h} = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}}$$

three possible polarizations $\vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\pm 0}^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}$: $\hat{h} \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\pm}^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}} = \pm \hbar \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\pm}^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}$
 $\hat{h} \vec{\mathbf{E}}_0^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}} = 0$

"+" right-handed polarization

"-" left - " "

"0" longitudinal

note that $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\pm}^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}} = 0$ "transverse"

$\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}}_0^{\hat{\mathbf{p}}} = 1$ "longitudinal"

h is again Lorentz-invariant $\Rightarrow$ can have just $h = \pm \hbar$ (or - or 0)

but (CPT): a theory with a $+\hbar$ photon must also have a $-\hbar$ "anti-photon". But photons are their own antiparticles (no charge) $\Rightarrow$ the photon has $\pm \hbar$ helicities but no longitudinal polarization.

Note: will often look at scattering of particles with $\frac{m}{E} \ll 1 \Rightarrow m=0$ is a good approximation

particles & fields

-12-

Relativity + Lorentz invariance : signals $v \leq c$

locality

signals travel via wiggles in fields, wave equation

speed of waves $v \leq c$

QM: quantize fields by treating field oscillations as

QM harmonic oscillators

oscillator excitations $\leftrightarrow$ particles

free particle states $|0\rangle$ vacuum

in mom. space

$|\vec{p}\rangle$ 1 particle of momentum p

$|\vec{p}, \vec{p}'\rangle$ 2 particles ...

$\vdots$



Fock space

creation operators

$$a_{\vec{p}}^+ |0\rangle = |\vec{p}\rangle$$

$$a_{\vec{p}}^+ a_{\vec{p}'}^+ |0\rangle = |\vec{p}, \vec{p}'\rangle \dots$$

destruction operators

$a_{\vec{p}}$ hermitian conjugate $\nwarrow$ $\langle \vec{p} | a_{\vec{p}}^+ | 0 \rangle \sim 1$
 $\langle \vec{p} | a_{\vec{p}} | \vec{p} \rangle \sim 1$

real field operator creating particle in position space

$$\phi(x) \sim \int d^3p \, e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} a_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger} + e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} a_{\vec{p}}$$

$(\phi = \phi^{\dagger})$
↑
create particle
↑
destroy particle

complex field

$$\phi \sim e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} a_{\vec{p}} + e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} b_{\vec{p}}$$

↑
charge q
destroy anti-particle
charge $-q$

$$\phi^{\dagger} \sim b^{\dagger} + a$$

2 properties of QFT

1. antiparticles: ϕ creates particles h, q
 destroys anti-particles $-h, -q$

2. spin-statistics: integer spins are bosons, commute at space-like separation $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = 0$

half-integer spins are fermions
 $\{\psi(x), \psi(y)\} = 0$ (same - signs)

Relativistic normalization of states

-14-

non-relativistic: $\langle \vec{p} | \vec{p}' \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}')$

↑
convention

↑
generalization of
 δ_{ij} to continuous

"completeness"

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{1} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |\vec{p}\rangle \langle \vec{p}| \quad \text{so that } \mathbb{1} |\vec{p}'\rangle = |\vec{p}'\rangle$$

↑
rotationally invariant

proof: change of basis $\vec{p}' = \overset{\substack{\text{rotation} \\ \text{matrix}}}{\Theta} \vec{p}$
↑ "orthogonal"

$$d^3p \rightarrow d^3p' = d^3p |\det(\Theta)| = d^3p$$

↑ "Jacobian"

but not boost invariant. $\Rightarrow$ normalization of states is not boost invariant.

BUT $\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}$ is rotation and boost invariant

$$d^4p' = d^4p |\det \Lambda| = d^4p$$

↑ Lorentz transformation

consider: $\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} 2\pi \delta_+(p^2 - m^2)$ Lorentz invariant

$$\delta_+(p_0^2 - (\vec{p}^2 + m^2)) = \frac{\delta(p_0 - \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2})}{2E_p}$$

"pick positive root" $\nwarrow E_p$

using $\delta(f(x)) = \frac{\delta(x - x_0)}{|f'(x_0)|}$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_p}$$

Lorentz invariant ^{integration} measure

$\Rightarrow$ define:

$$\mathbb{1} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} 2E_p |\vec{p}\rangle \langle \vec{p}|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \vec{p} | \vec{p}' \rangle = (2\pi)^3 2E_p \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}')$$

Scattering

