

## Corrections to HW5, problems 15.5 and 15.6

### A. 15.5: Decaying waves

As we saw in this chapter, in one dimension, a periodic potential opens a band gap such that there are no plane-wave eigenstates between energies  $\epsilon_0(G/2) - |V_G|$  and  $\epsilon_0(G/2) + |V_G|$  with  $G$  a reciprocal lattice vector. However, at these forbidden energies, decaying (evanescent) waves still exist. Assume the form

$$\psi(x) = e^{-\kappa x} [Ae^{ikx} + Be^{i(k-G)x}] ,$$

with  $0 < \kappa \ll k$  and  $\kappa$  real. Using first order degenerate perturbation theory (as in problem 15.1), find  $\kappa$  as a function of energy for  $k = G/2$ . For what range of  $V_G$  and  $E$  is your result valid?

### B. 15.6: Kronig-Penney Model\*

Consider electrons of mass  $m$  in a so-called “delta-function comb” potential in one dimension

$$V(x) = aU \sum_n \delta(x - na) .$$

(a) Argue using the Schroedinger equation that in-between delta functions, an eigenstate of energy  $E$  is always of plane wave form  $e^{iq_E x}$ , with

$$|q_E| = \sqrt{2mE}/\hbar .$$

Using Bloch’s theorem, conclude that we can write an eigenstate with energy  $E$  as

$$\psi(x) = e^{ikx} u_E(x) ,$$

where  $u_E(x)$  is a periodic function defined as

$$u_E(x) = e^{-ikx} [A \sin(q_E x) + B \cos(q_E x)] \quad 0 < x < a ,$$

and  $u_E(x) = u_E(x + a)$  defines  $u$  outside of this interval.

(b) Using continuity of the wave function at  $x = 0$  derive

$$B = e^{-ika} [A \sin(q_E a) + B \cos(q_E a)] ,$$

and using the Schroedinger equation to fix the discontinuity in slope at  $x = 0$  derive

$$q_E A - e^{-ika} q_E [A \cos(q_E a) - B \sin(q_E a)] = \frac{2maUB}{\hbar^2} .$$

Solve these two equations to obtain

$$\cos(ka) = \cos(q_E a) + \frac{mUa}{\hbar^2 q_E} \sin(q_E a) .$$

The left-hand side of this equations is always between  $-1$  and  $1$ , but the right-hand side is not. Conclude that there must be values of  $E$  for which there are no solutions of the Schroedinger equation – hence concluding that there are gaps in the spectrum.

(c) For small values of the potential  $U$  show that this result agrees with the predictions of the nearly free electron model (i.e., determine the size of the gap at the zone boundary).