Daunt · Edwards Milford · Yaqub

(Part A)

R

EMPERALURE

**PHYSICS** 

Part A

# LOW TEMPERATURE PHYSICS IT 9

Proceedings of the IXth International Conference on Low Temperature Physics Columbus, Ohio, August 31 - September 4, 1964

> Edited by J. G. Daunt, D. O. Edwards, F. J. Milford, M. Yaqub

P

LT 9

## LOW TEMPER PHYSICS – L1

Proceedings of 1 1Xth International Low Temperature held at Columbu August 31 - Sept

## J. G. Daunt, D. D. I and M. Yaqub, Ed

Contains the compl 250 papers presen plus 20 review articl of the theory of sup and vortices in supe helium, Fermi surfilow-temperature traloys with transition of articles are written b contain a detailed a of the latest advante physics.

The distinguished or include:

> P.W. Anderst J. Barbeen M.S. Birndi M. Cardona I.G. Dush J. G. Daund B.S. Deaver J P.G. de Gente H.A.Feinham W. M. Fairbar R.E. Glover, L.P. Koden P. G. Klement T. R. Koehler P.M. Marray P.C. Martin K. Mendelinak J.E. Mercerem T. Morita R. D. Parks J. R. Pellam D. Pines F. Reif O.K. Rice S. A. Rice L.O. Roelling

## **INTERNATIONAL CRYOGENICS MONOGRAPH SERIES**

Under the editorship of Dr. K. Mendelssohn and Dr. K. D. Timmerhaus

This series of monographs on individual areas in cryogenics, written by internationally known authorities, presents the latest theoretical and applied developments in cryogenics.

# THERMOELECTRIC REFRIGERATION

By H. J. Goldsmid

**Published**:

240 -----

This volume covers both the physical and engineering aspects of thermoelectric refrigeration from the basic principles through the physics of thermoelectric materials to the engineering of thermoelectric cooling units. It is the first book devoted to the practical problems encountered in research and development laboratories, e.g., the measurement of thermoelectric parameters, the optimization of materials, and the design of cooling units in real rather than ideal situations. In addition, the new and important related subject of Ettingshausen (thermomagnetic) refrigeration is covered, particularly with reference to cooling at very low temperatures. Bismuth-antimony alloys are also discussed.

1064

\$11 50

240 pages	1004	+
Forthcoming in the series:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE OF META	ALS	
By G. T. Meaden	1005	A44 50
	1905	\$11.50
By E S Raig Gongl	KATURE	
Approx. 250 pages	1966	\$11.50
SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN ELEMEN	TS, ALLOYS, AND COMPOUNDS	
By G. K. Gaulé		
VERY HIGH MAGNETIC FIELDS		
By David H. Parkinson and B. Mull	hall	
	NEEDC	
By J. L. Olsen and S. Gyagax	NEEKS	
By F. B. Canfield	LIBRIA	
By A L Croft and P V E McClinto	PMENT	
by A. o. eren and		
LOW-TEMPERATURE CRYSTALLOG	GRAPHY	
By S. Ramaseshan		
CRYOGENIC SAFETY		
By M. G. Zabetakis		
HELIUM-3 AND HELIUM-4		
By W. E. Keller		
CRYOGENIC INSULATION SYSTEM	AS	
By P. Glaser and A. Wechsler		

Jacket by F. Cangelos

PLENUM PRESS · 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011

## THE SPECIFIC HEAT OF <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He SOLID MIXTURES\*

### George O. Zimmerman<sup>†</sup>

## Yale University New Haven, Connecticut

Although the phase diagrams of pure <sup>3</sup>He and pure <sup>4</sup>He are fairly well known, and are relatively simple, the phase diagram of <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He mixtures is complicated at low temperatures by a separation of both the liquid<sup>1</sup> and the solid<sup>2</sup> into a <sup>4</sup>Herich and a <sup>3</sup>He-rich phase. Edwards *et al.*<sup>2</sup> reported in the article announcing the discovery of an isotopic separation in solid <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He mixtures that the temperature at which isotopic separation sets in is symmetric in x and (1 - x), where x is the atomic fraction of <sup>3</sup>He. Since their data were taken in the isotopic composition range of 82.4% <sup>4</sup>He and 17.6% <sup>3</sup>He to 0.03% <sup>4</sup>He and 99.97% <sup>3</sup>He, at the highest pressure of 35.8 atm, it was probable (according to the extrapolation of the phase diagrams of Vignos and Fairbank<sup>3</sup>) that all the measurements of Edwards *et al.* were performed in the bcc phase.

The measurements reported here were performed to investigate the influence of the bcc-hcp crystalline phase transition, which comes at a lower <sup>3</sup>He concentration and higher pressure than those at which the data of Edwards *et al.*<sup>2</sup> on the isotopic phase separation were obtained.

The specific heat of  ${}^{3}\text{He}{-}^{4}\text{He}$  mixtures has been measured for  ${}^{3}\text{He}$  concentrations between 0 and 11.8%, in the temperature range between 0.06° and 0.7°K, at solidification pressures up to 63 atm.

The apparatus and method used in this measurement are similar to those described elsewhere.<sup>4-6</sup> The specific heat was obtained by the pulse method,<sup>4</sup> and measurements were carried out at constant volume, using the blocked capillary technique.

There are several things that one should bear in mind in considering these data. First, since there is no pressure-sensitive device inside the calorimeter, this pressure is known only at the time the capillary is blocked. The same pressuring procedure as reported in detail by Zimmerman<sup>6</sup> was followed here. Because the cooling through the solid-liquid transition was slow, and helium was observed to condense during the solidification, it can be assumed that the solidification took place at constant pressure rather than at constant volume on a P-V diagram. Since the molar volumes of the solid <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He mixtures are unknown, only the solidification to be assumed the volume of the calorimeter, which is 0.605 cc, can be given. The calorimeter volume was determined by observing the amount of <sup>4</sup>He gas at standard temperature and pressure which condensed into it while the calorimeter was at 1.5°K, and then by correcting for the known volume of the capillary.

\* Work was supported by the U.S. Office of Army Research, Durham, N.C.

† Present address: Department of Physics, Boston University.

244

Second, because one is dealing here with both crystallographic and isotopic phase transitions in the solid, one can expect a certain amount of supercooling and superheating. Such effects were indeed observed. At solidification pressures above 26 atm, the average time for the measurements of a specific heat point was about 10 min, while at a solidification pressure of 63 atm, it became as high as 45 min. At a particular isotopic composition and particular solidification pressure, there was an irreproducibility of data from one measurement to another which is attributed to superheating or supercooling. Because of the uncertainty in the data caused by the above effect, all the specific heat determinations reported here are a result of from 3 to 6 runs at each combination of isotopic composition and solidification pressure, with the lines drawn through points which have been validated by at least two determinations. It is hoped that this procedure will locate the specific heat maxima with an accuracy of plus or minus 0.005°K.

The quantity  $\Delta Q/\Delta T$ , where  $\Delta Q$  is the heat added to the sample and  $\Delta T$  is the corresponding change in temperature, for samples of different isotopic composition at solidification pressure of 48 atm is plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 1. The percentages denote the amount of <sup>3</sup>He in the <sup>3</sup>He<sup>-4</sup>He mixture. The



Fig. 1.  $\Delta Q/\Delta T$  plotted against temperature for different compositions at solidification pressure of 48 atm.

crosses indicate the onset of phase separation as calculated from the equation given by Edwards *et al.*<sup>2</sup> Going down in temperature, the crosses are for a <sup>3</sup>He concentration of 11.8, 7.7, 3.12, 2.6, 1.18, and 0.58%, respectively. At <sup>3</sup>He concentration of 11.8 and 7.7%, our agreement with the data of Edwards *et al.* is excellent except for the appearance of a secondary specific heat maximum at lower temperature which is smaller in magnitude than the high temperature one. At lower <sup>3</sup>He concentrations—i.e., 3.12, 2.6, 1.18, and 0.58%—the high temperature maxima come at a much higher temperature than those calculated by Edwards *et al.*, but there is always a secondary specific heat maximum at the calculated temperature that might be due to passing first through a crystalline phase transition which is accompanied by a partial isotopic phase separation, and then through the nearly symmetric isotopic phase separation as temperature is decreased.

The 1.18%<sup>3</sup>He mixture and the 0.58%<sup>3</sup>He mixture exhibit three specific heat maxima. Two of these can be interpreted on the basis of passing through the hcp-bcc transition and then at lower temperature encountering the almost symmetric isotopic phase transition. The third peak, the high temperature one in the



Fig. 2.  $\Delta Q/\Delta T$  plotted against temperature for isotopic composition of 2.6% <sup>3</sup>He and 97.4% <sup>4</sup>He at different solidification pressures.

Table I				
<sup>3</sup> He concentration, %	Solidification pressure, atm	Temperature of maxima, °K		
11.80	- 48	0.300, 0.095		
7.70	48	0.260, 0.168		
3.12	63.2	0.167		
3.12	48	0.355, 0.195		
3.12	27	0.376, 0.182		
2.60	48	0.245, 0.145		
2.60	26.5	0.260, 0.172		
2.60	26.3	0.268, 0.170		
2.60	26.0	0.282, 0.135		
1.18	48	0.355, 0.227, 0.175		
0.58	48	0.230, 0.147, 0.110		
0.58	26.6	0.230, 0.137, 0.097		

1.18% <sup>3</sup>He mixture and middle one in the 0.58\% <sup>3</sup>He mixture, is possibly due to superheating effects.

This measurement would suggest that at a solidification pressure of 48 atm, the hcp-bcc<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup> line shifts to lower <sup>3</sup>He concentrations on the T-X diagram than that shown for 30 atm by Tedrow and Lee.<sup>7</sup>

In Fig. 2,  $\Delta Q/\Delta T$  is plotted as a function of temperature for the mixture of 2.6% <sup>3</sup>He and 97.4% <sup>4</sup>He at different solidification pressures. The sample at solidification pressure of 25.3 atm is hitting the melting curve, while at higher solidification pressures the samples are solid in the temperature range of this measurement. At each of the isotopic compositions measured at different solidification pressures i.e., 3.12, 2.6, and 0.58% of <sup>3</sup>He—the common envelope on the high temperature side was observed. Another common feature was the increase in magnitude and decrease in temperature of the specific heat maxima as the pressure was raised.

At a solidification pressure of 63.2 atm and composition of 3.12% <sup>3</sup>He, only one specific heat maximum was observed. This maximum has a value of  $\Delta Q/\Delta T$  of  $3.7 \times 10^6$  ergs/deg K, and comes at a temperature of 0.167°K. The data are summarized in Table I.

#### Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Professor H. A. Fairbank for suggesting this problem, Mr. M. Scully for help in taking the data, Professors C. T. Lane and J. D. Reppy for advice and encouragement, and Drs. R. Paul and A. J. Howard for the mass spectroscopic analysis of the samples. I am especially grateful to Professor D. M. Lee for helpful discussions of these data.

#### References

- 1. G. K. Walters and W. M. Fairbank, Phys. Rev. 103, 262, 1956.
- 2. D. O. Edwards, A. S. McWilliams, and J. G. Daunt, Phys. Rev. Letters 9, 195, 1962.
- 3. J. H. Vignos and H. A. Fairbank, Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, Butterworths, London (1963), p. 31.
- 4. M. Strongin, G. O. Zimmerman, and H. A. Fairbank, Phys. Rev. 128, 1983, 1962.
- 5. G. O. Zimmerman, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9, 476, 1964.
- 6. G. O. Zimmerman, this volume, p. 240.
- 7. P. M. Tedrow and D. M. Lee, Phys. Letters 9, 130, 1964.