#### PY 482: COMPUTATION FOR PARTICLE PHYSICS Professor Kevin Black

Wednesday, January 25, 2012

# GOALS OF THE COURSE

- Main goal is to introduce the skill sets you will need for your research projects
  - Computing, C++, the root analysis program, data analysis (statistics and probability)
  - Introduction to ideas of particle physics, background material

## WHAT WILL WE COVER

- Very brief review of Standard Model and elementary particles
- Overview of how we do experiments (how do we produce, detect, and record interactions of particles?)
- Tools of the trade (CORE of class)
  - C++, unix, root package
  - representing data (histograms), parameter estimation, likelihoods, simulations, event displays, confidence intervals and limits

# SPECIFICS

- Root analysis package
  - How to manage files
  - Object oriented computing (C++ and all that jazz)
  - How data is stored and accessed
  - How to represent data (4 vectors, histograms, graphs)
  - Event Displays
  - Parameter estimation in root
  - Fitting in root
  - Likelihoods
    - Confidence Intervals

# WHAT IS EXPECTED OF YOU

- Assigned readings
- Weekly homework assignments (5 of them in total)
- Presentation in class , ~15-20 min, researching a classic particle physics experiment from paper
- Final data analysis project

#### GRADING

50% from homework
20% from presentation
30% from final project

## DETAILS OF COURSE

 6 week course, meeting twice a week for 2 hours at CERN

- Homework will be due Tuesday by the beginning of class
- More detailed breakdown in course syllabus
- Blackboard site post everything there

# TOOLS YOU WILL NEED

- Access to a machine with the ROOT analysis program
  - all should have accounts on buphy and CERN, also standalone versions available on mac or windows
  - note it is useful to have it locally installed at least for things that don't require a large amount of storage (graphics are slow with low bandwidth internet connections)

## TODAY'S LECTURE!



## REVIEW

- Today we will give a brief overview of many topics to put in to context all the technical stuff you will learn during this course
- I will show you why you need to learn computation and analysis to do physics

## OVERVIEW AND BACKGROUND ...



• What is the world made of? • How can we describe it? • For all the complexity we

> see , can we explain it in a simple way?



Rough history of particle physics, the deeper you probe smaller and more basic the pieces become

# BASIC BUILDING BLOCKS



# THREE QUARKS TO MUSTER MARK

- So far we have introduced only 2 quarks (u) and (d)
- This works to describe **almost** everything we see around us
- In 1963, Gell-Mann introduced a third quark (s) the strange quark to explain the existence of a new particle the  $\phi$
- He took the name from James Joyce ...



#### Murray Gell-Mann

# BUT WAIT, THERE'S MORE!



- In 1928 Paul Dirac proposed that you could create particles from energy based on negative energy solutions to his attempt to unify quantum mechanics and special relativity
- But how can you create a single electron? Won't that violate conservation of charge and angular momentum?



- In 1928 Paul Dirac proposed that you could create particles from energy based on negative energy solutions to his attempt to unify quantum mechanics and special relativity
- But how can you create a single electron? Won't that violate conservation of charge and angular momentum?
- Yes but you can produce an electron and anti-electron (positron) pair and conserve quantum numbers



# BUILDING BLOCKS

• Stable particles - almost all of the world around us is composed of the 1st generation of elementary particles





- Unstable particles
- Need to be created by high energy interactions
- 'Heavy' and do not live long decay into lighter particles

# INTERACTIONS

Force	Particle	Where found?
Electromag netic	Ŷ	magnets
Strong	gluon	Sun, nuclei
Weak	W+,W⁻,Z⁰	Beta-decay
Gravity	Graviton (still to be discovered)	Earth

- What causes particle interactions?
- 4 fundamental forces
  - E&M
  - Strong Nuclear Force
  - Weak Nuclear Force
  - Gravity

## INTERACTIONS



# INTERACTIONS

- Forces can be explained in terms of exchange of particles (bosons)
  - The calculation of different processes is done in Quantum Field Theory which describes elementary particles and their interactions

# THE STANDARD MODEL

- We can describe matter and their interactions using 6 quarks, 6 leptons, and 4 force carrying bosons
- The particle content and their interactions is called the 'Standard Model'
- What about Gravity?
- Experimentally we have not detected a graviton so we cannot verify any quantum field theory



# HOW DO WE STUDY PARTICLES?

- Need to have the particles around to study
  - electrons and protons are no problem - they are everywhere!
  - more exotic particles are more difficult
- Essentially three ways:
  - Cosmic Rays
  - Nuclear Reactors
  - Particle Accelerators







# REVIEW OF SPECIAL RELATIVITY

- In HEP, you need to be acquainted with special relativity because particles are generally moving at relativistic speeds! (i.e HIGH energy)
- Going to assume basic familiarity with relativity and notation
- If this is completely unfamiliar to you please look it up and review (PDG, online, a variety of text books)
- For the exercises and in this class and the research progress you need a working knowledge of how to manipulate 4-vectors, compute invariant masses, angles, etc...

# FOUR-VECTORS

- To describe interactions of elementary particles we need to describe how they interact eg:
  - how they collide or scatter
  - how they decay
- Regardless of the interactions we need to conserve energy and momentum during these processes
  - For particles moving at speeds close to the speed of light we need to use relativistic kinematics
  - interestingly as an experimentalist this turns out to be a much more important skill set then quantum mechanics...

#### 4-VECTORS

The components of a 4-vector can be written  $a^{\mu} = (a^0, \vec{a}) = (a^0, a^1, a^2, a^3)$ 

c = 1

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2}}$$

Transformed into a moving frame

$$(a^{0})' = \gamma (a^{0} - \beta \cdot a^{3})$$
$$(a^{1})' = a^{1}$$
$$(a^{2})' = a^{2}$$
$$(a^{3})' = \gamma (a^{3} - \beta \cdot a^{0})$$

Lorentz Transformation

## COVARIANT NOTATION

$$a_{\mu}=(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)=(a^0,-a^1,-a^2,-a^3)$$

- Since the metric has -1 along the diagonal for the spatial components
- Adopt this notation for easy computation
- By explicit calculation one can show that the dot product of two four-vectors is a Lorentz invariant (do this at home!)

$$a \cdot b = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} \cdot b^{\mu} = a_{\mu} b^{\mu} = (a^{0})^{2} - (\vec{a})^{2}$$

$$a \cdot b = a' \cdot b'$$

#### 4-MOMENTUM

#### The 4-momentum of a particle can be written $p^{\mu} = (E, p_x, p_y, p_z) = (E, \vec{p})$

The mass is invariant w.r.t Lorenz transformations , and in particular in the rest frame of the particle

 $p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = E^2 - \vec{p}^2 = E_{rest}^2 = m^2$ 

Classically p = mv so a massless particle would also have zero momentum. Relativistically this is no longer the case and with v = c a massless particle can have any momentum

## HOW DOES THIS COME UP?

We don't have detectors which measure elementary particle mass directly! But...

We measure momenta of charged tracks from their radii of curvature in a magnetic field: Cerenkov light and specific ionization depend directly on the speed of a particle,  $\beta$ .

 $p = m\beta\gamma = 1/r$ 



# SIMPLE BUT TYPICAL EXAMPLE

Say we collide

 a proton with a
 nucleus of a
 target

Many new particles created



if the electrons were created independently



If they came from the decay of a particle

## A REAL EXAMPLE

 Invariant mass of dimuon pairs from the ATLAS
 experiment

 Particles appear as resonances in the spectrum



• Two lumps of clay, each with mass m, collide head on at 3/5 c producing one larger mass of clay. What is the mass of the mass of the final lump of clay.

$$m \rightarrow m$$

- Two lumps of clay, each with mass m, collide head on at 3/5 c producing one larger mass of clay. What is the mass of the mass of the final lump of clay.
- Energy and momentum are conserved during the process so the invariant mass of the lump after the collision must be the same before

$$m \rightarrow m$$

- Two lumps of clay, each with mass m, collide head on at 3/5 c producing one larger mass of clay. What is the mass of the mass of the final lump of clay.
- Energy and momentum are conserved during the process so the invariant mass of the lump after the collision must be the same before

- Two lumps of clay, each with mass m, collide head on at 3/5 c producing one larger mass of clay. What is the mass of the mass of the final lump of clay.
- Energy and momentum are conserved during the process so the invariant mass of the lump after the collision must be the same before

## FOR HOMEWORK

• A pion at rest decays into a muon and a neutrino (take as massless). What is the speed of the muon?
### PARTICLE COLLIDERS

- Why do we collide particles?
- If you wanted to know how your wrist watch worked would you through two of them together really hard?



### PARTICLE COLLIDERS

- while you can take apart a watch and look at all the pieces with a screwdriver you can't do this with an elementary particle?
- The probe needs to be smaller or on the same size as the thing you are trying to investigate!
- For an elementary particle the only thing you have are other elementary particles!



## WHAT TYPE OF COLLIDER

#### • Fixed Target:

- collide particles into a macroscopic sized fixed target of dense material
- ADVANTAGE: (relatively) large probability for the probe particles to hit the target



#### why is the detector in a small wedge?

## WHAT TYPE OF COLLIDER

#### Colliding beams

- smash two high energy particles into each other
- ADVANTAGE: more of the energy in the center of mass frame for heavy particle production



why is the detector surrounding the interaction point?

The Standard Model is a beautiful theory - explains a wide variety of phenomena and interactions -withstood 3 decades of assault with experiment



#### • Two problems:

The Standard Model is a beautiful theory - explains a wide variety of phenomena and interactions -withstood 3 decades of assault with experiment

- Two problems:
  - it is incomplete
    - does not explain gravity
    - does not explain dark matter
    - mechanism of mass generation not yet verified



The Standard Model is a beautiful theory - explains a wide variety of phenomena and interactions -withstood 3 decades of assault with experiment

- Two problems:
  - it is incomplete
    - does not explain gravity
    - does not explain dark matter
    - mechanism of mass generation not yet verified
    - it is `wrong' ( well it works ok but...)
      - mathematical inconsistencies at high energy must be an effective theory
      - many seemingly arbitrary but crucial parameters



- Two problems:
  - it is incomplete
    - does not explain gravity
    - does not explain dark matter
    - mechanism of mass generation not yet verified
    - it is `wrong' ( well it works ok but...)
      - mathematical inconsistencies at high energy must be an effective theory
      - many seemingly arbitrary but crucial parameters

Build a high energy collider that can produce new heavy particles and test the consistency at high energy!

#### TABLETOP



J.J. Thomson with apparatus used to identify electron as elementary particles (Cambridge, 1897)

#### TABLE TOP = TOO SMALL



### UNIVERSITY/LAB SCALE



Bevatron 6.5 GeV proton accelerator, Berkeley, USA. discovery of the antiproton (1955) and antineutron (1956)

#### STILL TOO SMALL



#### THE LARGEST MACHINE EVER BUILT!



#### You are here •

Wednesday, January 25, 2012

#### HOW TO BUILD IT

• First choice - fixed target or collider?

#### WHAT ENERGY DO WE NEED?

 As it turns out - the Standard Model
 breaks down at ~1 TeV without
 something to
 regulate WW
 amplitudes



#### FIXED TARGET OR COLLIDING BEAMS?

Assume we want to reach  $\sqrt{s} \approx 1$  TeV >>  $m_p$ 

 $\frac{pp \text{ Collider}}{s = (p_1 + p_2)^2} = (E_1 + E_2)^2 - (\vec{p_1} + \vec{p_2})^2$ For a symmetric collider with beam energy  $E_b = E_1 = E_2$ :  $\sqrt{s} = 2E_b \Rightarrow E_b = 500 \text{ GeV for } \sqrt{s} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ 

Proton beam on proton target  $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (E_b + m_p)^2 - (\vec{p}_b + 0)^2$   $s = E_b^2 + 2m_p E_b + m_p^2 - p_b^2 \approx 2m_p E_b$   $\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{2m_p E_b} \Rightarrow E_b = 500 \text{ TeV for } \sqrt{s} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ 

Unfortunately, we don't know how to make 500 TeV beams

#### WHAT PARTICLES TO COLLIDE

So far we have collided
electrons, positrons, protons, antiprotons
Everything else decays!

#### WHAT ABOUT E+E-?

- Colliding fundamental particles , e+e-, means that essentially every interaction is a fundamental one.
- e+e- completely annihilate and and all energy can go into particle production
- long history of e+e- success



#### RECALL BASIC E&N

• Charged particles radiate when they are accelerated

 When going in circles this is called 'synchrotron radiation'

> Circular machines limited by synchrotron radiation. For a circular machine of radius R, particles radiate at a rate:

$$P = \frac{1}{6\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2 a^2}{c^3} \gamma^4$$

where  $a = v^2/R$  and  $\gamma = E/m$  is the Lorentz factor.

The energy loss per turn W is P multiplied by the time spent in the bending magnets  $2\pi R/v$ 

Wednesday, January 25, 2012

## LIMITATIONS ON DESIGN

Strong dependence on mass of particle being accelerated! <u>Electrons</u> For  $v \approx c$ , E in GeV and R in kilometers:  $W = 8.85 \times 10^{-5} E^4/R$  MeV/turn

 $W = 14 \text{ MeV/turn for } E = M_Z/2 \text{ at LEP},$  $W \approx 200 \text{ GeV/turn for } E = 500 \text{ GeV at LEP}$  $\Rightarrow a 1 \text{ TeV } e^+e^- \text{ machine must be a linear collider}$ 

<u>Protons</u> W down by a factor of  $(m_e/m_p)^4$ . For  $v \approx c$ , E in TeV and R in kilometers:  $W = 7.8 \times 10^{-3} E^4/R$  keV/turn

**BUT**...

- Keep in mind when a theorist tells you 1 TeV, they mean it could be 1 or 2 or maybe 3 TeV or you know for sure less than 10 TeV!"
- Exploring this energy range faster means going with a proton collider as we have not yet built a 1 TeV electron/positron machine!

#### LHC BASICS

- Two beams circulating in opposite directions with ~3000 bunches of 10^11 protons
- usually in separate pipes, but crossing each other in 4 places
- ~20 collisions every 25 ns
- need gazillions of collisions because the ones that we are really interested in may only happen one in a billion or one in a trillion collisions!



#### DETECTORS

- So far we have produced, accelerated, and collided particles
- But we cannot yet know what happened during each collision exactly.
- But we can identify and measure the particles that come out of the collision and `reconstruct'



#### ONE EXAMPLE.



#### RECONSTRUCTED EVENT



Wednesday, January 25, 2012

#### TRACK RECONSTRUCTION

- Tracks curl in a magnetic field (you remember how from EM)
- Describe geometric helix
  - simplest geometry B-field from a solenoid
- 5 parameters
  - d0 distance of closest approach in transverse plane
  - phi0 phi at distance of closest approach
  - curvature 1 / R
  - z0 distance of closest approach in longitudinal direction
  - cot(theta) other angle



#### TRACKING



- Moving object disturbs the material through which it passes
- Keen observers can learn:
  - identity what made the track
  - position where did it go through
  - direction which way did it go
  - velocity how fast was it moving

## CHARGED PARTICLE TRACKING

Charged particles leave tracks as they penetrate material



Discovery of the positron Anderson, 1932



16 GeV π<sup>-</sup> beam entering a liquid-H<sub>2</sub> bubble chamber at CERN, circa 1970

"Footprint" in this case is excitation/ionization of the detector material by the incoming particle's electric charge

# VECTORS



http://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/public/EVTDISPLAY/events.html

- Would like to know particle type and 4-vectors of these particles that came out of the interaction
- what you actually measure is some basic physical quantity (voltage , current)
- many steps in between
  - alignment (have to know where the detector is)
  - calibration (what does the signal mean)
  - pattern recognition how do you do pattern recognition with a computer program?
  - parameter estimation how do i extract this?

#### LESSONS FROM PAST

- UA1 reported an excess of jet mono jet + missing et events
- From hand scanning events determined that these were not compatible with background event
- Possible discovery of supersymmetry?

#### UNFORTUNATELY

- A combination of a lot of 'negligible' backgrounds
  - None alone could account for the observed number of data events
  - All mimicked the signature with some low probability
- Lesson : it is important to understand the details!

Process	Events (total)	Events with L<0 T	Events with $L_{\eta} < 0$ and $E_T^{jet} < 40 \text{ GeV}$
$\begin{array}{l} W \rightarrow \ e \ \nu \\ W \rightarrow \mu \ \nu \\ W \rightarrow \tau \ \nu \ \rightarrow leptons \end{array}$	3.6	2.0	1.4
$W \rightarrow \tau \underline{v} \rightarrow v \overline{v} + hadrons$	36.7	8.0	7.1
$W \rightarrow c \overline{s}$	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
$Z^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$	0.5	0.1	0.1
$Z^0 \rightarrow v \overline{v}$ (3 neutrino species)	7.4	7.1	5.6
$Z^0 \rightarrow c \overline{c} and b \overline{b}$	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
c c and b b (direct production)	0.2	0.2	0.2
Jet fluctuations (fake missing energy)	3.8	3.4	3.4
TOTAL	52.2	<b>20.8</b> ± 5.1 ± 1.0	17.8 ± 3.7 ± 1.0

#### MONTE CARLO



- P(x) -> N performed using the Monte Carlo method
  - estimate physical quantities (cross-sections, angular distributions, etc)
  - sample quantities (generate events) one at a time
- Relies on ability to generate (pseudo) random numbers with a computer
  - why are they pseudo random ?!

#### SIMULATION

- In modern particle physics experiments the detectors are so large in complicated it is often difficult to know precisely what a particular event should look like
- Rely on detailed simulations to model active and passive material
- Propagate particles through detector



#### EVENT DISPLAYS



#### EVENT DISPLAYS

- Being able to see events is very important
  - human brain is amazing at seeing patterns, recognizing characteristics
  - human brain is not so great at complicated numeric calculations
  - i bet you had an easier time finding the tracks than you did at calculating their momentum
- Interestingly computer programs are the exact opposite.
  - Great at doing numeric computation!
  - Much more difficult to write a program to find a track in a noisy environment than it is to estimate its momentum once you have found it with a program!

#### NOTES

- Assigned reading on blackboard (i have also placed the entire root manual there for a reference - please don't read all 500 pages tonight) Please look through the first two chapters
- Homework assignment #1 due next week
- On Thursday we start with root!