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What are penta-quarks?

- Minimum quark content is 5 quarks.
- "Exotic" penta-quarks are those where the antiquark has a different flavor than the other 4 quarks \( (qqqq\bar{Q}) \)
- Quantum numbers cannot be defined by 3 quarks alone.

Example: \( uuudd\bar{s} \)

- Baryon number = \( 1/3 + 1/3 + 1/3 + 1/3 - 1/3 = 1 \)
- Strangeness = \( 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 1 \)

  e.g. \( uuudd\bar{c}, uuuss\bar{d} \)

  c.f. \( \Lambda(1405): uuuds\bar{u} \) or uds

- Exotic: $S=+1$
- Low mass: 1530 MeV
- Narrow width: $\sim$ 15 MeV
- $J^P=1/2^+$

$M = [1890-180Y]$ MeV
Reaction diagrams

\[ \gamma n \rightarrow \Theta^+ K^- (p) \]
\[ \Theta^+ \rightarrow K^+ n \]
"Exotic"

\[ \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda^* (1520) K^+ (n) \]
\[ \Lambda^* (1520) \rightarrow K^- p \]
"Standard" baryon

\[ \gamma N \rightarrow \phi (1020) \rightarrow K^+ K^- N \]
Meson resonance
First evidence from LEPS

\[ \gamma n \rightarrow K^+K^- n \]

Background level is estimated by a fit in a mass region above 1.59 GeV.

**Assumption:**
- Background is from non-resonant \( K^+K^- \) production off the neutron/nucleus
- ... is nearly identical to non-resonant \( K^+K^- \) production off the proton

\[ M = 1.54 \pm 0.01 \text{ MeV} \]
\[ \Gamma < 25 \text{ MeV} \]

Gaussian significance 4.6\( \sigma \)

hep-ex/0301020
CLAS/JLAB Exclusive process

hep-ex/0307018

\[ \gamma d \rightarrow p K^+ K^- n \]

- Detect $K^+ K^- p$
- Reconstruct neutron via missing mass.

- Remove $\phi$ and $\Lambda(1520)$.

$M = 1542 \pm 5$ MeV
$\Gamma < 21$ MeV
Kinematic reflections due to $f_2(1275)$, $a_2(1320)$ and $\rho_3(1690)$ can generate a narrow enhancement in $K^+n$ effective mass.
CLAS/JLAB on protons

CLAS Collaboration

\[ \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ K^+ K^- (n) \]

- Detect \( K^+ K^- \pi^+ \)
- Reconstruct neutron from missing 4-momentum.
- Require \( \cos \theta_{\pi} > 0.8 \) & \( \cos \theta_K < 0.6 \)

\[ M = 1555 \pm 1 \pm 10 \text{ MeV} \]
\[ \Gamma < 26 \text{ MeV} \]

\[ 7.8\sigma \]
N* production mechanism?

What do πp scattering data say?

- πp cross section data in PDG have a gap in the mass range 2.3–2.43 GeV.
Diana/ITEP Result

$K^+ \ Xe \ \square \ K^0 \ p \ X$

$(K^+ \ n \ \square \ K^0 \ p)$

- $P_{K^+} < 530 \text{ MeV/c}$
- Require $\theta_K < 100 \text{ deg.}$ & $\theta_p < 100 \text{ deg.}$
- Remove $\cos \phi_{pK} < 0 \leftrightarrow \text{back-to-back}$

\[ \Gamma = 0.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV} \]

Cahn and Trilling hep-ph/0311245

consistent with KN phase shift analysis by Arndt et. al.

Phys. Rev. C68, 042201(R)
HERMES: $e^+d \rightarrow K^0pX$

Detect $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

Nice clean peak.

Complicated background due to $\Sigma^*$ resonances

mixed events

shaded: Pythia MC
HERMES: $e^+d \rightarrow K^0pX$

Clear $\Lambda(1520)$ peak is seen. This is a crucial test, as it has similar mass.

No peak is seen in the $pK^+$ spectrum. $\rightarrow$ no $\Theta^{++}$!
ZEUS: $e^+ p \rightarrow e' K^0 p X$

Huge statistics!

Hint of excess for $Q^2 > 1$.

Note: they see a clear peak for the $\Lambda(1520)$ in the $pK^-$ spectrum.
The peak only shows up clearly for $Q^2 > 20 \text{ GeV}^2$.

Note (inset) that the peak appears in both $K^0 p$ and $K^0 \bar{p}$ albeit with different widths.
**COSY:**

\[ pp \rightarrow K^0 p \Sigma^+ \]

The COSY-TOF detector uses scintillators and microstrips to get good vertex reconstruction.

Finding a detached vertex easy in the low-multiplicity environment.
Before the acceptance correction.

\[ M = 1530 \pm 5 \text{ MeV} \]
\[ \Gamma < 18 \text{ MeV} \]
\[ \sigma = 0.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{b} \]
# Summary of Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>$\sigma's^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEPS</td>
<td>$\gamma C \rightarrow K^+K^- X$</td>
<td>1540 +/- 10</td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIANA</td>
<td>$K^+Xe \rightarrow K^0p X$</td>
<td>1539 +/- 2</td>
<td>&lt; 9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAS</td>
<td>$\gamma d \rightarrow K^+K^-p(n)$</td>
<td>1542 +/- 5</td>
<td>&lt; 21</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPHIR</td>
<td>$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^0(n)$</td>
<td>1540 +/- 6</td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEP</td>
<td>$\nu A \rightarrow K^0p X$</td>
<td>1533 +/- 5</td>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAS</td>
<td>$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+K^-K^+(n)$</td>
<td>1555 +/- 10</td>
<td>&lt; 26</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERMES</td>
<td>$e^+d \rightarrow K^0p X$</td>
<td>1528 +/- 3</td>
<td>13 +/- 9</td>
<td>~5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEUS</td>
<td>$e^+p \rightarrow e'K^0p X$</td>
<td>1522 +/- 3</td>
<td>8 +/- 4</td>
<td>~5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSY</td>
<td>$pp \rightarrow K^0p\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>1530 +/- 5</td>
<td>&lt; 18</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gaussian statistical significance: estimated background fluctuation*
Mass

- $M = 1.54$ GeV: SPring-8, ITEP, CLAS-d
  - These were the first 3 publications
- $M = 1.555$ GeV: CLAS-p
  - This one has over 7 $\sigma$ significance!
- $M = 1.53$ GeV: HERMES, ZEUS, COSY
  - These are the most recent ones…
- **Can all of these be the same resonance?**
  - We need to find better ways to estimate the experimental uncertainties.
Width

• Again, there is inconsistency:
  – Most measurements are only upper limits.
  – DIANA has $\Gamma < 9$ MeV.
  – The cross-section implies $\Gamma = 0.9$ MeV.
  – HERMES: $\Gamma = 13 \pm 9$ stat. ($\pm 3$ sys.) MeV
  – ZEUS: $\Gamma = 8 \pm 4$ stat. ($\pm 5$ sys.) MeV
  – Arndt et al. and Cahn et al. analysis of KN phase shifts suggests that $\Gamma < 1$ MeV !!

• The small width is the hardest feature for theorists to understand…
Negative Results

• HERA-B (Germany):
  - reaction: p+A at 920 GeV
  - measured: K-p and K^0p invariant mass
  - Clear peak for Λ(1520), no peak for Θ^+
  - production rate: Θ^+/Λ(1520)<0.02

• BES (China):
  - reaction: e^+e^- → J/ψ → Θ^+Θ^-
  - limit on B.R. of ~10^{-5}

And many unpublished negative results
(HyperCP, CDF, E690, BaBar, LEP,...).
HERA-B result

HERA-B data on Carbon target: invariant mass of pK^0 shows no Θ^+ peak!

Could it be due to reaction mechanism?

sensitivity: better than 5 µb/nucleon
$\Theta^+$ Search at CDF: Strategy & Samples

$p K^0_S \rightarrow p \pi^+ \pi^-$

Two energy ranges:

- **minbias!** $23\times 10^6$ events
- **jet20!** $16\times 10^6$ events

To remove $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi$: $m(\pi p)$ and $m(p\pi)$, 1.13 GeV

Presented at QNP2004 by M.J. Wang
$\Theta^+$ Search at CDF: Known Resonances

$\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$

$\Lambda(1520) \rightarrow pK^-$

$K^{*+} \rightarrow K^0_S\pi^+$

Acceptance limited by TOF cuts: (determined with MC)
$\Theta^+$ Search at CDF: $pK^0_S$ Mass Spectrum

No evidence of narrow resonance
Quarks and Nuclear Physics 2004 – May 27, 2004
Search for Exotic Baryons in 800 GeV/c pp → pX – David Christian

- Low multiplicity *exclusive* reaction → limited combinatorics.
- $K_s$ is correct strangeness for $\Theta^+$ (assuming strangeness conservation in production).
- Events selected by topology, and energy and momentum conservation.
  - Loose cut on $p_L$ conservation (5 GeV).
  - Tight cut on $p_T^2$ conservation (.002 GeV$^2$ ~ (45 MeV)$^2$).
  - Tight cut on $(E-p_L)$ conservation (-.02 - .015 GeV).
    - Errors on $E$ & $p_L$ are highly correlated.
  - Events eliminated that have $\Delta(E-p_L)$ consistent with $p_{\text{slow}}K_sK^-\pi^+p_{\text{fast}}$
- 68,050 events selected.
  - 63,945 with one solution.
  - 4105 (6%) with 2 solutions ($\pi^+/p_{\text{slow}}$ ambiguity).
Monte Carlo pK_s mass resolution (σ) at 1540 MeV is 1.5 MeV.

Yield of narrow (pK_s) at 1540 MeV is less than 25 events (95% CL).

~5000 Λ(1520) above background; FWHM ~ 14 MeV
New LEPS data

\( \sim 2 \times 10^{12} \) photons on a 15cm-long LD2 target.

Minimal cuts: vertex, \( MM_{\gamma K^-} = M_N \), no \( \phi \), \( E_\gamma < 2.35 \) GeV

\[
(MM_{\gamma K^-})^2 = (MM_{\gamma K^-})^2 - P_{(K+ n)}/P_{(MM_{\gamma K^-} - M_n)^2}
\]

\( \Theta^+ \)

\( \Lambda(1520) \)
Missing mass for $\phi$-tail events

$1.02 < M_{KK} < 1.03$

Real data: $\phi$ tail

MC: $M_{KK} > 1.03$

$M_{KK}$ (GeV) $\rightarrow$ $M_{MKK}$ (GeV) $\rightarrow$ $MM_{\gamma K^-} (MM_{\gamma K^+})$ (GeV) $\rightarrow$ $MM_{\gamma K^-}$ (GeV)
Other way to correct Fermi motion

Assumption:

neutron(proton) momentum = missing momentum

![Theta^+ and Lambda(1520) distributions](image)
Other Pentaquarks

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta^+ (1530) & \quad \Theta^+ (1530) \\
N(1710) & \quad N(1710) \\
\Sigma (1890) & \quad \Sigma (1890) \\
ddssu & \quad ddssu \\
uuussd & \quad uuussd
\end{align*}
\]
Observation of Exotic $\Xi$**

$\Xi^-$

$\Xi(1530)$

$\Xi^0$

$\Xi^+$

$\bar{ssd}d\bar{u}$

$\bar{ssd}d\bar{u}$

$\bar{ssd}d\bar{u}$

$\bar{ssd}d\bar{u}$

$\bar{ssd}d\bar{u}$

Combined spectra

[M=1.862 ± 0.002 GeV] [Γ<0.018 GeV]

CERN SPS hep-ex/0310014
Negative result: HERA-B

Also not seen by CDF, BaBar and E690.
Effective Mass Spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Mass</th>
<th>Number per 2 MeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^+(\pi^-)$</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^-(\pi^+)$</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^{*+}(\pi^-)$</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Xi^{*-}(\pi^+)$</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arrows at 1750 MeV & 1860 MeV

Monte Carlo mass resolution ($\sigma$) for $\Xi\pi$:
- 3.3 MeV at 1750 MeV
- 4.5 MeV at 1862 MeV
Charmed pentaquark

- The H-1 collaboration at DESY (Germany)
- It is a possible (uuddc) quark configuration.
Search at CDF: D*⁺p Mass Spectrum

D*proton mass with TOF

- Proton pass all tracking cuts
- 0.5 GeV/c < Pt(proton) < 2.75 GeV/c
- D* and proton form a good vertex with vertex $\chi^2 < 30$
- 142.5 < M(D⁺) - M(D*) < 148.5 MeV/c²
- TOF: (measured-expected)/error < 2.0

For M=3.099 GeV/c²,
<12 events for $\Gamma=0.0$ MeV/c² @90% C.L.
<25 events for $\Gamma=12.0$ MeV/c² @90% C.L.
Proton pass all tracking cuts
2.75 GeV/c < Pt(proton)
D* and proton form a good vertex with vertex $\chi^2 < 30$
142.5 < $M(D^*) - M(D^*)$ < 148.5 MeV/c²
dEdX : (measured−expected)/error < 1.3

For $M=3.099$ GeV/c²,
<19 events for $\Gamma=0.0$ MeV/c² @90% C.L.
<30 events for $\Gamma=12.0$ MeV/c² @90% C.L.

Also not seen by Zeus and Focus.
Summary

• Evidence for an $S=+1$ baryon around 1.54 GeV with a narrow width has been observed by several experimental groups.

• There are some inconsistencies in the measured masses and widths.

• No signal has been observed in high energy experiments with high statistics and good mass resolution.

• The $\Theta^+$ does not exist or its production in high energy reactions must be highly suppressed.

• Other pentaquarks have been observed only one experiment and in doubt.
Outlook

• LEPS new exp. re-observed the peak.
  – Unlikely to be due to statistical fluctuations.
  – Further checks are in progress.

• New dedicated experiments with high statistics are on-going, scheduled, or planned at several labs (Jlab, KEK, BNL, e.t.c.).

• The issue will be settled in near future.
The evidence for strangeness +1 baryon resonances was reviewed in our 1976 edition, and more recently by Kelly and by Oades. Two new partial-wave analyses have appeared since our 1984 edition. Both claim that the $P_{13}$ and perhaps other waves resonate.

However, the results permit no definite conclusion- the same story heard for 15 years. The standards of proof must simply be much more severe here than in a channel in which many resonances are already known to exist. The general prejudice against baryons not made of three quarks and the lack of any experimental activity in this area make it likely that it will be another 15 years before the issue is decided.

References

Fermi motion correction

1\textsuperscript{st} order: $MM_{\gamma K^-}^c = MM_{\gamma K^-} - MM_{\gamma K^+K^-} + Mn$

2\textsuperscript{nd} order: $(MM_{\gamma K^-}^c)^2 = (MM_{\gamma K^-})^2 - P_{(K+n)/P_n} (MM_{\gamma K^+K^-}^2 - Mn^2)$

$\Delta M^2$

We expect Small $\Delta M^2 \Rightarrow$ Better correction $\Rightarrow$ Better S/N

if the peak is real.
$|\Delta M^2| < 0.10 \text{ GeV}^2$

\begin{align*}
\Lambda(1520) \\
\Theta^+
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{MM}_{\gamma K^+} (\text{GeV}) \\
\text{MM}_{\gamma K^-} (\text{GeV})
\end{align*}