Neutrinos from Heaven & Earth

J. A. Formaggio

MIT

NEPPSR 2007

Cape Cod, MA
August 13th, 2006

Part I (Today):

- A brief history
- Spin and mass properties
- Sources of neutrinos

Part II (Wednesday):

(Lecture by Hugh Gallagher)

- Neutrino interactions
- Neutrino oscillations

Neutrinos, a brief history...

"I have hit on a desperate remedy..."

A Desperate Remedy...



FIG. 5. Energy distribution curve of the beta-rays.



4th December 1930

Dear Radioactive Ladies and Gentlemen,

As the bearer of these lines, to whom I graciously ask you to listen, will explain to you in more detail, how because of the "wrong" statistics of the N and Li^6 nuclei and the continuous beta spectrum, I have hit upon a desperate remedy to save the "exchange theorem" of statistics and the law of conservation of energy. Namely, the possibility that there could exist in the nuclei electrically neutral particles, that I wish to call neutrons, which have spin 1/2 and obey the exclusion principle and which further differ from light quanta in that they do not travel with the velocity of light. The mass of the neutrons should be of the same order of magnitude as the electron mass and in any event not larger than 0.01 proton masses. The continuous beta spectrum would then become understandable by the assumption that in beta decay a neutron is emitted in addition to the electron such that the sum of the energies of the neutron and the electron is constant...

Wolfgang Pauli

- Almost 77 years ago, Pauli introduces the idea of neutrinos to help resolve the energy conservation crisis.
- The neutrino still continues to haunt theoretical and experimental physics.

Path to Discovery



1934 Enrico Fermi establishes the theory of weak decay, providing a framework for neutrinos.

Fermi's "Neutrino"



$$\mathcal{H} = G_F(\bar{\Psi}_p\gamma_\mu\Psi_{\rm n})(\bar{\Psi}_e\gamma^\mu\Psi_\nu)...$$



568 [illegible] — Tentativo di una teoria dei raggi β

LA MASSA DEL NEUTRINO.

§ 7. La probabilità di transizione (32) determina tra l'altro la forma dello spettro continuo dei raggi β. Discuteremo qui come la forma di questo spettro dipende dalla massa di quiete del neutrino, in modo da poter determinare questa massa da un confronto con la forma sperimentale dello spettro stesso. La massa μ interviene in (32) tra l'altro nel fattore p_σ^2/v_σ. La dipendenza della forma della curva di distribuzione dell'energia da μ, è marcata specialmente in vicinanza della energia massima E_0 dei raggi β. Si riconosce facilmente che la curva di distribuzione per energie E prossime al valore massimo E_0, si comporta, a meno di un fattore indipendente da E, come

$$\frac{p_\sigma^2}{v_\sigma} = \frac{1}{c^3}(\mu c^2 + E_0 - E)\sqrt{(E_0 - E)^2 + 2\mu c^2(E_0 - E)}. \tag{36}$$

Nella fig. 1 la fine della curva di distribuzione è rappresentata per $\mu = 0$, e per un valore piccolo e uno grande di μ. La maggiore somiglianza con la

Fig. 1.

- Fermi formulates the theory of **weak decay**, describing the decay of neutrons inside nucleii (March 25th letter to *La Ricerca Scientifica*).
- Uses 4-point interaction to describe this new force; remarkably accurate for modern day understanding of interaction...
- Fermi already appreciates the effect of neutrino masses (more on that later...)

Path to Discovery



1934 Enrico Fermi establishes the theory of weak decay, providing a framework for neutrinos.



1935 Hans Bethe calculates the probability detecting a neutrino experimentally.

Detecting the Impossible...

$$\nu + n \rightarrow p + e^-$$

$$\bar{\nu} + p \rightarrow n + e^+$$



Hans Bethe (1906-2005)

- Bethe & Peirls use decay rates measured from nuclear decay and Fermi's formulation to calculate the inverse process (neutrino interacting with matter).
- Allows for neutrino detection from inverse beta decay
- Alas, the cross-section is a bit small...

$$\sigma_{\nu p} \sim 10^{-43}\ \mathrm{cm}^2\ !$$

Path to Discovery



1934 Enrico Fermi establishes the theory of weak decay, providing a framework for neutrinos.



1935 Hans Bethe calculates the probability detecting a neutrino experimentally.

1956 Reines & Cowan use their Poltgergeist experiment to provide first detection of the neutrino.

Detecting the Ghost

- Reines and Cowen explore Bethe's hypothesis to use inverse beta decay to detect neutrinos.
- Original idea was to use neutrinos produced from a nuclear blast as a intense neutrino source.
- Moved to detecting neutrino and the neutron, allowing for a less-intense source to be used...
- Began with project Poltergeist...

Searching for the Impossible



$$\bar{\nu} + p \rightarrow n + e^{+}$$

signal here

$$n + {}^{113}\mathrm{Cd} \rightarrow \gamma's$$

and here!

- Neutrino detection must battle the fact that the interaction rate is far smaller than with anything else.
- Reines and Cowen decided to use the coincidence of the primary anti-neutrino interaction (positron emission) and detection of the neutron.
- Coincidence signal allows for powerful background rejection.

Experimental Neutrino Physics Begins...



Clyde Cowan
(1919 – 1974)

Fred Reines
(1918 – 1998)
Nobel prize 1995

Project Poltergeist

WESTERN UNION

June 14, 1956

Dear Professor Pauli,

We are happy to inform you
that we have definitely
detected neutrinos. . .

Fred Reines
Clyde Cowan

- Neutrinos finally detected (it took 26 years) !
- OK, now things get interesting...

Within the Framework



Binds nucleii;
mediated by gluons;
only couples to quarks



Couples to charge;
mediated by photons;
felt by quarks and leptons



Spin 1/2

Spin 1

Common to all particles;
mediated by the $W^\pm/Z^0$ bosons;
Neutrinos can only interact weakly

Weird Fact #1:

Neutrinos only as left-handed particles...

C. S. Wu demonstrates parity violation in the weak force using ^{60}Co decay

"Parity Violation"

- All other forces studied at the time (electromagnetism and the strong force) rigidly obeyed parity conservation. Naturally, so should the weak force/neutrinos.

...Nope. Violates it 100%

Handedness vs. Helicity

- All particles have "helicity" associated with them.
- Helicity is the projection of spin along the particle's trajectory.
- Can be aligned with or against the direction of motion.

Right-helicity

Spin along direction of motion



Left-helicity

Spin anti-along direction of motion

Handedness vs. Helicity

- Helicity is not invariant under Lorentz transformations.
  - Changes depending on the frame of reference.
- Since related to angular momentum (and angular momentum is conserved), the helicity can be directly measured.





Looks like a left-handed corkscrew.





No—like a right-handed corkscrew!

Handedness vs. Helicity

- One can also describe a particle's **handedness** or **chirality**.
- Chirality IS Lorentz invariant. It does not depend on the frame of reference. It is the LI counterpart to helicity.
- In the limit that the particle mass is zero, helicity and chirality are the same.

Left-handed

Right-handed

$$\psi_L = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)$$

$$\psi_R = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)$$

What makes neutrinos different…

- All charged leptons and quarks come in both left-handed and right-handed states…

This implies parity conservation



What makes neutrinos different...

- All charged leptons and quarks come in both left-handed and right-handed states...

  This implies parity conservation

- ...except for neutrinos!
- Neutrinos only come as left-handed particles (or right-handed anti-particles).



Weird Fact #2: Neutrino Mass

- Various symmetries distinguish neutrinos from other quarks and leptons.
- Neutrinos would be a period at the end of this sentence.
- Insight into the mass spectrum.
- Insight into the scale where new physics begins to take hold.



Mass & Handedness

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi$$

- Left- and right-handed components come into play when dealing with mass terms in a given Lagrangian...

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = m(\bar{\psi}\psi)$$

$$= m(\bar{\psi}_L \psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R \psi_L)$$

- Because neutrinos only appear as left-handed particles (or right-handed anti-particles), the Standard Model wants massless neutrinos.
- All other spin 1/2 particles have both right-handed and left-handed components.

Set m = 0!

and the right-handed neutrinos never appear

Naturalness of Neutrino Mass

- Why is the neutrino mass so small compared to the other particles?
- Perhaps neutrinos hold a clue to theories beyond the Standard Model.
- For example, a number of Grand Unified Theories {Left-Right Symmetric; SO(10)} predict the smallness of neutrino mass is related to physics that take place at the unification level.

The See-Saw Mechanism

$$\mathcal{L} = (\bar{\phi}_L \; \bar{\phi}_R)\mathcal{M}\begin{pmatrix} \phi_L \\ \phi_R \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_L & m_D \\ m_D & m_R \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m_R \sim m_{\text{GUT}}$$

$$m_\nu \sim \frac{m_D^2}{m_R}$$

Where do neutrinos come from...?

Neutrinos are Everywhere....



Radioactivity

Big bang

Accelerators/Beams

ν_e

The sun

Cosmic Rays & Geoneutrinos



Reactors

Neutrinos from the Cosmos

Neutrinos from the Cosmos

Most abundant particle in the universe aside from radiation (photons)

Produced from interactions of hot dense matter as universe expands from Big Bang (and at equilibrium).

$$\Gamma_{\text{interaction}} < H(t)_{\text{expansion}}$$

Eventually particles decoupling, or freeze-out, begins...

A hand-waving argument...

The rate of expansion is much faster.

$$\Gamma_{\text{int}} \simeq < \sigma_{\text{weak}} n_\nu v > \sim < (G_F^2 T^2)(T^3) >$$

Neutrinos decouple from matter when the universe temperature is about 1 MeV (or 10^{10} K)

$$H(t) \simeq g_\star^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{T^2}{M_{\text{Plank}}}$$

The universe is 1 second old.

Neutrinos from the Cosmos

$$\Omega_\nu h^2 = \sum \frac{m_\nu}{92.5\mathrm{eV}}$$

- Most abundant particle in the universe aside from radiation (photons)

$$n_\nu = 115\ \nu\text{'s cm}^{-3}$$

- One can use the abundance of neutrinos in the universe to constrain the mass of the neutrino.



REST MASS OF MUONIC NEUTRINO AND COSMOLOGY

S. S. Gershtein and Ya. B. Zel'dovich
Submitted 4 June 1966
ZhETF Pis'ma 4, No. 5, 174-177, 1 September 1966

Low-accuracy experimental estimates of the rest mass of the neutrino [1] yield $m(\nu_e) < 200$ eV/c^2 for the electronic neutrino and $m(\nu_\mu) < 2.5 \times 10^6$ eV/c^2 for the muonic neutrino.

Cosmological considerations connected with the hot model of the Universe [2] make it possible to strengthen greatly the second inequality. Just as in the paper by Ya. B. Zel'dovich and Ya. A. Smorodinskii [3], let us consider the gravitational effect of the neutrinos on the dynamics of the expanding Universe. The age of the known astronomical objects is not smaller than 5×10^9 years, and Hubble's constant H is not smaller than 75 km/sec-Mparsec $= (13 \times 10^9 \text{ years})^{-1}$. It follows therefore that the density of all types of matter in the Universe is at the present time 1)

$$\rho < 2 \times 10^{-28} \text{ g/cm}^3.$$

Gershtein & Zeldovich
JETP Lett. 4 (1966) 120

Power Spectra

- Power spectra measures the structure as a function of scale length.
- Sensitive to neutrino mass more than neutrino number.







Cosmic Microwave Background



- WMAP data reveals structure of microwave background and temperature fluctuations at small angular scales.
- Provides a normalization constraint on the power spectrum.
- Complimentary information to power spectrum.



Increasing Precision...

- Further surveys of the matter density of the universe will provide stronger tests of the matter composition of the universe.
- Future satellites (e.g. PLANK) will probe in greater detail the role of neutrinos in the universe.
- Greater precision expected, but model dependencies will remain.





Studying Neutrinos from Radioactive Sources

Los Alamos T_2 Experiment





- How neutrinos were first (indirectly) discovered.
- Provide direct window into neutrino mass scale.
- Rich history of experiments. Future experiments will push direct mass limits to the sub-eV level.

The β^- decay Spectrum



$$\frac{dN}{dE} = C \times |M|^2 F(Z,E) p_e (E + m_e^2)(E_0 - E) \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 \sqrt{(E_0 - E)^2 - m_i^2}$$

- Tritium beta decay allows for a model-independent measurement of neutrino mass.
- Search for distortion near endpoint of the beta spectrum.

Two Techniques

Spectroscopy

KATRIN



$$^{3}\mathrm{He} \rightarrow \, ^{3}\mathrm{H} + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_e$$

Bolometry

MIBETA
&
MARE



$$^{187}\mathrm{Re} \rightarrow \, ^{187}\mathrm{Os} + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_e$$

Examines only region of interest.

Excellent statistics.

Excellent resolution (1 eV).

Disadvantages: final states, scattering

Detection of all energy, including final states.

Potential next-next-generation.

Disadvantages: measures all spectrum (pile-up); multiple detectors

Bolometry

- Bolometry uses instrument both as source and as detector.
- Measures all energy from the decay (except neutrino). No issues with final state losses.
- Small units (necessary, if one wants to avoid pile-up from multiple decays.





Current sensitivity:

$m < 15$ eV (90% C.L.)

KATRIN

The KArlsruhe TRItium Neutrino Experiment





KATRIN Lands!



Neutrinos from the Heavens..

...solar neutrinos

In Bethe's original paper, neutrinos are not even in the picture.

(H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 33, 1939)



Energy Production in Stars*

H. A. Bethe
Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

(Received September 7, 1938)

It is shown that the *most important source of energy in ordinary stars is the reactions of carbon and nitrogen with protons*. These reactions form a cycle in which the original nucleus is reproduced, *viz.* $C^{12}+H=N^{13}$, $N^{13}=C^{13}+\epsilon^+$, $C^{13}+H=N^{14}$, $N^{14}+H=O^{15}$, $O^{15}=N^{15}+\epsilon^+$, $N^{15}+H=C^{12}+He^4$. Thus carbon and nitrogen merely serve as catalysts for the combination of four protons (and two electrons) into an α-particle (§7).

The carbon-nitrogen reactions are unique in their cyclical character (§8). For all nuclei lighter than carbon, reaction with protons will lead to the emission of an α-particle so that the original nucleus is permanently destroyed. For all nuclei heavier than fluorine, only radiative capture of the protons occurs, also destroying the original nucleus. Oxygen and fluorine reactions mostly lead back to nitrogen. Besides, these heavier nuclei react much more slowly than C and N and are therefore unimportant for the energy production.

The agreement of the carbon-nitrogen reactions with observational data (§7, 9) is excellent. In order to give the correct energy evolution in the sun, the central temperature of the sun would have to be 18.5 million degrees while integration of the Eddington equations gives 19. For the brilliant star Y Cygni the corresponding figures are 30 and 32. This good agreement holds for all bright stars of the main sequence, but, of course, not for giants.

For fainter stars, with lower central temperatures, the reaction $H+H=D+\epsilon^+$ and the reactions following it, are believed to be mainly responsible for the energy production. (§10)

It is shown further (§5–6) that *no elements heavier than* He^4 *can be built up in ordinary stars*. This is due to the fact, mentioned above, that all elements up to boron are disintegrated by proton bombardment (α-emission!) rather than built up (by radiative capture). The instability of Be^8 reduces the formation of heavier elements still further. The production of neutrons in stars is likewise negligible. The heavier elements found in stars must therefore have existed already when the star was formed.

Finally, the suggested mechanism of energy production is used to draw conclusions about astrophysical problems, such as the mass-luminosity relation (§10), the stability against temperature changes (§11), and stellar evolution (§12).

§1. INTRODUCTION

THE progress of nuclear physics in the last few years makes it possible to decide rather definitely which processes can and which cannot occur in the interior of stars. Such decisions will be attempted in the present paper, the discussion being restricted primarily to main sequence stars. The results will be at variance with some current hypotheses.

The first main result is that, under present conditions, no elements heavier than helium can be built up to any appreciable extent. Therefore we must assume that the heavier elements were built up *before* the stars reached their present state of temperature and density. No attempt will be made at speculations about this previous state of stellar matter.

The energy production of stars is then due entirely to the combination of four protons and two electrons into an α-particle. This simplifies the discussion of stellar evolution inasmuch as the amount of heavy matter, and therefore the opacity, does not change with time.

The combination of four protons and two electrons can occur essentially only in two ways. The first mechanism starts with the combination of two protons to form a deuteron with positron emission, *viz.*

$$H+H=D+\epsilon^+. \qquad (1)$$

The deuteron is then transformed into He^4 by further capture of protons; these captures occur very rapidly compared with process (1). The second mechanism uses carbon and nitrogen as catalysts, according to the chain reaction

$$\begin{array}{ll} C^{12}+H=N^{13}+\gamma, & N^{13}=C^{13}+\epsilon^+ \\ C^{13}+H=N^{14}+\gamma, & \\ N^{14}+H=O^{15}+\gamma, & O^{15}=N^{15}+\epsilon^+ \\ N^{15}+H=C^{12}+He^4. & \end{array} \qquad (2)$$

The catalyst C^{12} is reproduced in all cases except about one in 10,000, therefore the abundance of carbon and nitrogen remains practically unchanged (in comparison with the change of the number of protons). The two reactions (1) and

---

[*] Awarded an A. Cressy Morrison Prize in 1938, by the New York Academy of Sciences.



The combination of four protons and two electrons can occur essentially only in two ways. The first mechanism starts with the combination of two protons to form a deuteron with positron emission, *viz.*

$$H+H=D+\epsilon^+. + \nu's\ ! \qquad (1)$$

The deuteron is then transformed into He^4 by further capture of protons; these captures occur very rapidly compared with process (1). The second mechanism uses carbon and nitrogen as catalysts, according to the chain reaction

$$\begin{array}{ll} C^{12}+H=N^{13}+\gamma, & N^{13}=C^{13}+\epsilon^+ \\ C^{13}+H=N^{14}+\gamma, & \\ N^{14}+H=O^{15}+\gamma, & O^{15}=N^{15}+\epsilon^+ \\ N^{15}+H=C^{12}+He^4. & \end{array} \qquad (2)$$



Basic Process:

$$4p + 2e^- \rightarrow He + 2\nu_e + 26.7\ \text{MeV}$$



Light Element Fusion Reactions

$p + p \rightarrow {}^2H + e^+ + \nu_e$

$p + e^- + p \rightarrow {}^2H + \nu_e$

99.75%

0.25%

${}^2H + p \rightarrow {}^3He + \gamma$

85%

~15%

$\sim 10^{-5}\%$

${}^3He + {}^3He \rightarrow {}^4He + 2p$

${}^3He + p \rightarrow {}^4He + e^+ + \nu_e$

${}^3He + {}^4He \rightarrow {}^7Be + \gamma$

15.07%

0.02%

${}^7Be + e^- \rightarrow {}^7Li + \gamma + \nu_e$

${}^7Li + p \rightarrow \alpha + \alpha$

${}^7Be + p \rightarrow {}^8B + \gamma$

$\mathbf{{}^8B} \rightarrow {}^8Be^* + e^+ + \nu_e$

More detailed…

This is known as the pp fusion chain.



In the sixties, John Bahcall calculates the neutrino flux expected to be produced from the solar pp cycle.

Basic assumptions of what is known as the Standard Solar Model...

(1) Sun is in hydrostatic equilibrium.

(2) Main energy transport is by photons.

(3) Primary energy generation is nuclear fusion.

(4) Elemental abundance determined solely from fusion reactions.

John Bahcall
1934 - 2005

Neutrinos from the Heavens....

atmospheric
neutrinos

Atmospheric Neutrinos



$$p + {}^{16}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm},\ K^{\pm}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \mu^{\pm} + \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu}$$

$$\hookrightarrow e^{\pm} + \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu} + \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{e}$$

- Neutrinos are also produced from cosmic ray interactions taking place in the upper atmosphere...
- Average energy near 1 GeV
- Note that there are two "muon neutrinos" for every "electron" neutrino.

Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Absolute atmospheric neutrino flux difficult to predict; depends on details of hadron shower propagation.
- Ratio (R=e/μ), however relatively independent of absolute flux (some atmospheric depth dependence remains).
- For energies above 10 GeV, one can use the following approximation for the atmospheric neutrino flux.

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_{\nu_\mu}}{dE_{\nu_\mu}d\Omega} \simeq 0.0286 E_\nu^{-2.7}\left(\frac{1}{1.0+\frac{6.0E_\nu\cos(\theta^*)}{115\ \mathrm{GeV}}}+\frac{0.213}{1+\frac{1.44E_\nu\cos(\theta^*)}{850\ \mathrm{GeV}}}\right)/\mathrm{cm}^2\ \mathrm{s\ sr\ GeV}$$

Neutrinos from the Earth...

Reactor Neutrinos

Double Chooz, France

Neutrinos from Reactors

- Reactors allowed us to provide the first detection of neutrinos in 1956 (Cowen & Reines).
- Today remains an excellent source of electron anti-neutrinos at our disposal.
- Source from fission products of ^{238}U, ^{234}U, ^{239}Pu.

Clyde C

(1919 –

(a)



Neutrinos from Reactors

- Energy released through multiple fission reactions; each of which yields anti-neutrinos.
- An average of 6 neutrinos are released over each complete fission cascade.
- Proximity of source adds to accessibility to neutrinos to overcome cross-section.

Clyde C
(1919 –
(a)





Neutrinos from Earth

...neutrinos from accelerators



- Producing accelerator neutrinos...
  - Use accelerated proton beam to produce short-lived mesons (pions and kaons)
  - Focus mesons toward target detector.
  - Add dirt.
  - Gather neutrinos.

Neutrinos from
Hell...

...literally!

Geoneutrinos

- Radiogenic heat (40-60% of 40 TW) from U and Th decays in the Earth's crust & mantle.
- Yields unique history of Earth's crust/core beyond what geological surveys can access.
- First observation of geoneutrinos from the KamLAND experiment.







Vol 436|28 July 2005|doi:10.1038/nature03980

nature

ARTICLES

Experimental investigation of geologically produced antineutrinos with KamLAND

T. Araki[1], S. Enomoto[1], K. Furuno[1], Y. Gando[1], K. Ichimura[1], H. Ikeda[1], K. Inoue[1], Y. Kishimoto[1], M. Koga[1], Y. Koseki[1], T. Maeda[1], T. Mitsui[1], M. Motoki[1], K. Nakajima[1], H. Ogawa[1], M. Ogawa[1], K. Owada[1], J.-S. Ricol[1], I. Shimizu[1], J. Shirai[1], F. Suekane[1], A. Suzuki[1], K. Tada[1], S. Takeuchi[1], K. Tamae[1], Y. Tsuda[1], H. Watanabe[1], J. Busenitz[2], T. Classen[2], Z. Djurcic[2], G. Keefer[2], D. Leonard[2], A. Piepke[2], E. Yakushev[2], B. E. Berger[3], Y. D. Chan[3], M. P. Decowski[3], D. A. Dwyer[3], S. J. Freedman[3], B. K. Fujikawa[3], J. Goldman[3], F. Gray[3], K. M. Heeger[3], L. Hsu[3], K. T. Lesko[3], K.-B. Luk[3], H. Murayama[3], T. O'Donnell[3], A. W. P. Poon[3], H. M. Steiner[3], L. A. Winslow[3], C. Mauger[4], R. D. McKeown[4], P. Vogel[4], C. E. Lane[5], T. Miletic[5], G. Guillian[6], J. G. Learned[6], J. Maricic[6], S. Matsuno[6], S. Pakvasa[6], G. A. Horton-Smith[7], S. Dazeley[8], S. Hatakeyama[8], A. Rojas[8], R. Svoboda[8], B. D. Dieterle[9], J. Detwiler[10], G. Gratta[10], K. Ishii[10], N. Tolich[10], Y. Uchida[10], M. Batygov[11], W. Bugg[11], Y. Efremenko[11], Y. Kamyshkov[11], A. Kozlov[11], Y. Nakamura[11], H. J. Karwowski[12], D. M. Markoff[12], K. Nakamura[12], R. M. Rohm[12], W. Tornow[12], R. Wendell[12], M.-J. Chen[13], Y.-F. Wang[13] & F. Piquemal[14]

The detection of electron antineutrinos produced by natural radioactivity in the Earth could yield important geophysical information. The Kamioka liquid scintillator antineutrino detector (KamLAND) has the sensitivity to detect electron antineutrinos produced by the decay of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th within the Earth. Earth composition models suggest that the radiogenic power from these isotope decays is 16 TW, approximately half of the total measured heat dissipation rate

Questions still out there...



- What is dark matter?
- What is the nature of dark energy?
- How did the universe begin?
- What are the masses of neutrinos and how have they shaped our universe?
- How do cosmic accelerators work?
- Do protons decay?
- How do particles acquire their masses?
- Are there greater symmetries or extra dimensions in our universe?
- How are we made of matter, as opposed to anti-matter?

Questions still out there...

🟨 Involves underground physics

🟪 Involves neutrinos & underground physics

- What is dark matter?
- What is the nature of dark energy?
- How did the universe begin?
- What are the masses of neutrinos and how have they shaped our universe?
- How do cosmic accelerators work?
- Do protons decay?
- How do particles acquire their masses?
- Are there greater symmetries or extra dimensions in our universe?
- How are we made of matter, as opposed to anti-matter?

Underground Physics

Education & Outreach

Dark Matter
Cosmology
Astrophysics
Neutron Oscillation

Geo-Database
Geo Modeling
Geophysics
Seismology
Fracture Study





Solar Neutrinos
Geoneutrinos
Underground
Accelerator for
Astrophysics
Gravity Waves

Cloud Formation
Lightning Physics
Thermal History
Coupled Processes
Rock Mechanics
Hydrology
Mineral Studies
Economic Geology





Neutrinoless ββ Decay
U/G Manufacturing
Low Background Counting

Geomicrobiology
Bioprospecting
Life at Extreme
Conditions
Geochemistry
Ecology
Environmental
Studies



Neutrino Properties
Long-baseline ν Oscillation
CP violation
MNSP Matrix
Nucleon Decay
Atmospheric Neutrinos

Underground
Engineering

Homeland Security



(Coutersy, Kevin Lesko)



Vertical muon flux as function of depth.

Strategy for Future Experiments

- “Bigger is better…”
  - More massive targets, enriched materials”
- “Keep it clean…”
  - Extremely clean materials and environments
- “Keep it deep…”
  - Filter out cosmic rays as much as possible
- “Redundancy is key…”
  - Using different techniques and target materials to ensure a true signal.

“(Come in under the shadow of this red rock),
And I will show you something different from either
Your shadow at morning striding behind you
Or your shadow at evening rising to meet you;
I will show you fear in a handful of dust.”

--T.S. Eliot, The WasteLand

Books of Note:

- For Neutrino Physics and Neutrino Mass:
  - “Neutrino Physics”, by Kai Zuber
  - “Particle Physics and Cosmology”, by P.D.B. Collins, A.D. Martin, and E.J. Squires.
  - “The Physics of Massive Neutrinos,” (two books by the same title, B. Kayser and P. Vogel,F. Boehm
  - “Los Alamos Science: Celebrating the Neutrino”, a good 1st year into into neutrinos, albeit a bit outdated now.
  - “Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics,” Mohapatra and Pal.

Even after almost a century of investigation, neutrinos continue to shed light on our understanding of natural laws.

The universe provides us with a myriad of neutrino sources for us to study

To be continued on Wednesday

Fin