

Blind Analysis in MINOS

Week in the Woods 13 June 2005

Gary Feldman



Introduction

- First 7 slides will be a review of a draft of a paper by Josh Klein and Aaron Roodman
- Story of Hans von Osten, "Clever Hans"
- Medical practice
 - Double blind
 - Public registry
- Strong recent trend in particle physics toward blind analyses



Klein-Roodman Analysis of 4 Particle Physics Results



Gary Feldman

Week in the Woods



Sources of Experimental Bias: Order of Increasing Subtlety

Tuning on the data

- If you are not tuning on the data, why do you need to see the data, and what aspects do you need to see?
- Making choices within the sensitivity plateau with a view of the data
 - Asymptotically unbiased
 - K&R: 2500 events, 10 cuts at 90% with a 1% bias ⇒ a 3 σ effect



Cut Value

- Stopping when the data "looks right"
 - Galison: "...there is no strictly logical termination point inherent in the experimental process"



General Considerations

- The are several methods of blinding. The method chosen should allow the greatest exploration of the data consistent with the elimination of bias.
- Blinding can aid a collaboration's internal review process.
- Analysis does not necessarily have to stop with unblinding.
- What to do if the blind process breaks down:
 - "There is no reason to publish a result known to be wrong, just because the analysis was done blindly."
 - Just publish an account of what you did.



Methods of Blinding

(Methods are sometimes combined)

1) Hidden signal box method

- Best suited to rare event searches.
- Backgrounds must be estimated from sidebands, simulations, and/or subsidiary experiments.

2) Hidden answer method

- Can be used when a single number is desired that does not depend on the number of events, e.g., an asymmetry.
- Fits are done with a random sign and offset.
- Additional tricks may be needed to examine some distributions without unblinding

3) Divided analysis

• Used in g-2: one group measured the muon precession and another group measured the magnetic field.

Week in the Woods



Methods of Blinding

4) Adding an unknown number of signal events

- Can use Monte Carlo events if the simulation is very realistic
- Can use data events that closely resemble signal (SNO used "muon-follower" neutron capture events)
- **5)** Prescaling the data
 - The prescaling factor is known; most or all of the data is hidden.
 - Most often used in conjunction with another method, e.g. a hidden signal box with 10% of all data open.
 - LIGO discards the 10% open data.



Methods of Blinding

- 6) Removing an unknown number (and distribution) of events
 - The number of removed events should be the minimum that will disguise the result.

End of Klein-Roodman Paper



Some History

- At the March 2004 meeting, I made a proposal for blinding (updated slightly in April 2004)
- Discussion before and at the June 2004 meeting -no decisions were made
- At the January 2005 meeting, Nathanial Tagg proposed a concrete implementation and wrote the code for it
- At the April 2005 meeting, more discussion, but decisions were put off to this meeting



Universal Blind Proposal April 2004

Desired Properties:

- Same blind for all oscillation analyses allows groups to work together and work across group boundaries
- Safe harbor collaboration only needs to approve blind procedure once
- Administratively simple and secure
- Easy to reblind
- Near detector completely open allows comparison of both shape and magnitude predictions
- Significant fraction of far detector open for all event classes and energies— allows study of special far detector problems, e.g., multiplexing, coil holes, etc.



Proposal Design

April 2004

- It is only necessary to blind enough that one is not biased.
- Need to blind with respect to three variables:
 - Overall rate
 - Energy spectrum
 - CC/NC (event length)
 - Electron/NC (probably will not be universal)



Throw away some (~half) of the FD beam data. Put it in a box until the final analysis.







Throw away data using a (hidden) function of total ADC and total event length. In this case:

```
P(\text{keep}) = 0.2 + (0.4 \text{ x } (1 + \sin(\text{length }/\text{fl} + \text{pl})) \\ \text{x } 0.4 \text{ x } (1 + \sin(\text{ADC}/\text{fa} + \text{pa})))
```

```
where pa = 360 deg - pl
```

choose fl from 15 to 500 planes choose fa from 0.8 to 2.0 GeV (i.e. 10 000 ADC/GeV) choose fp 0 to 360 degrees

Then, roll pseudo-random number R(snarl,run). Keep open if R<P.













Tend to get 1/3 of the data in the 'open' sample.







NC/CC Ratio





NC Spectrum

Nathaniel Tagg Oxford University





Electron events tend to be supressed less, too.

Nu_e events





Response to Call for Comments

- Mary Bishai: Concern about being able to verify that far detector is functional within a year (Peter Litchfield previously expressed similar opinions.)
- Sanjib Mishra:
 - 10% open, 90% closed
 - Strip muons from CC events to make fake NC events
- Discussion?